²⁹Si and ¹³C Chemical Shifts and Coupling Constants of Tris(phenyldimethylsilyl)methyl Silicon Compounds

ABDULRAHMAN I. AL-MANSOUR, SALIM S. AL-SHOWIMAN and IBRAHIM M. AL-NAJJAR* Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia (Received March 18, 1987)

Abstract

Silicon-29 (δ^{29} Si) NMR chemical shifts are reported for the first time for a number of tris(phenyldimethylsilyl)methyl silicon compounds (disilylated derivatives), $(PhMe_2Si^A)_3C_{\alpha}L$, where $L = Si^BR_1R_2R_3$, where R varies widely in electronegativity. $\delta^{29}Si^B$ for these series exhibited to some extent good correlations with the electronegativities of the groups bonded to silicon (particularly, δ^{29} Si^BMe₂X, X = H, OH, OCH₃, COCH₃ and Cl). Substitution with electronegative atoms shifts the chemical shift of silicon to high field.

The ¹³C NMR spectra of these compounds have been recorded and assigned. The chemical shifts of the α -carbon (C_{α}) resonances are shown to depend on the type of substituent on the silicon-B, thus $^{13}C_{\alpha}$ exhibit downfield shifts when X = oxygen ligand. The ¹³C phenyl resonances have been measured and show the same order of o, m and p signals, viz. δ ortho (downfield) > δ para > δ meta.

The variation of ²⁹Si-¹H coupling constants with the electronegativity of X was studied.

Introduction

Over the last few years silicon has become established in the group of heteroatoms that can be studied by nuclear magnetic resonance [1, 2].²⁹Si NMR is presently a much exploited field within which certain groups of compounds, notably disilanes and vinylsilanes [3], have already served as the subject of chemical shift studies. In the theory of ²⁹Si NMR chemical shifts, it has been accepted that changes in the nuclear shielding constants are due to changes in the local paramagnetic term σ_{p} , alone [4]. The main consequence of this approximation is the existence of a U-shaped relationship between the chemical shift and the sum of the substituent electronegativity or the silicon charge values [5].

In the present paper we report the ²⁹Si together with the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of bulky tris-(phenyldimethylsilyl)methyl compound. The investigations were mainly done in order to gain further insight into the factors governing the ²⁹Si spectra, in particular, the structural and electronic effects on the ²⁹Si resonance for this particular class of silicon compounds. In addition, because of the known sensitivity of the ¹³C NMR signals to both electronic and steric effects, we investigated the nature of the ligand $(SiR_1R_2R_3 \text{ group})$ on the resonance positions of the carbons throughout the C_6H_5 ring and the SCS effects have been calculated. The second feature of the investigation was directed at the variation of ²⁹Si-H coupling constants with the nature of the substituent groups.

Results and Discussion

The compounds examined are summarized in Scheme 1, which shows the labelling system employed.

$$(PhMe_2Si^A)_3C_{\alpha}L^B$$

L = a; H; b, Me; c, SiMe₂Ph; d, SiMeEtH; e, SiMeBuH, f, SiMe(NCS)H; g, SiMeHCl; h, SiMe(N₃)H; i, SiMe₂H; j, SiMe₂-OH; k, SiMe₂OCH₃; l, SiMe₂COCH₃; m, SiMe₂(NCS); n, SiMe₂Cl.

Scheme 1. Labelling system.

²⁹Si Chemical Shifts (δ²⁹Si)

The ²⁹Si NMR spectrum of tris(phenyldimethylsilyl)methyl ligand (Scheme 1) was studied in detail and the results are presented in Table I. The parent tris(phenyldimethylsilyl) methyl [Ia, L = H] exhibits a ²⁹Si signal at δ -3.95 ppm. With L = Me (Ib), the ²⁹Si signal shifted to higher field, δ –2.34 ppm and with $L = SiMe_2Ph$ (Ic), the ²⁹Si chemical shift appears at δ^{29} Si –2.9 ppm. Thus, the introduction of methyl group β to silicon (γ effect) seems to produce a slight deshielding effect. A more pronounced γ effect

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

of the methyl group has been reported for (trimethylsilyl)cyclopropyl compounds [6]. However, the ²⁹Si chemical shift with L = H, seems to be a little displaced to low field from what might be expected for the electronegativity of hydrogen (compared with either carbon or silicon atoms). Changing L from SiMe₂Ph to a different group, having a silicon atom with widely different electronic environments (Scheme 1, Id to In), led to the presence of two different silicon atoms (Scheme 1, Si^A and Si^B), disilylated derivatives.

Assignments of the ²⁹Si^B chemical shifts are readily identified since they are less intense and almost variant in position. The ²⁹Si^A chemical shifts are more intense and almost invariant in position. The results thus obtained are collected in Table I. Examination of this Table shows that in the ²⁹Si^A spectra the values vary from approximately -6.1 to -7.2 ppm. These results reflect the weak influence of the substituted ligand of Si^B.

The general features of the data of ²⁹Si^A chemical shifts can be summarized as follows. When an oxygen ligand replaces a hydrogen atom on silicon-B, there is a shift to low field ca. 1.0 ppm. However, similar behaviour was observed when an acetyl group replaces hydrogen on silicon-B. When a chlorine ligand replaces the alkyl group of silicon-B (Ig), there is a shift to high field for the $^{29}Si^{A}$ spectra. It is worth noting that the $^{29}Si^{B}$ chemical shift varies widely by changing the ligands directly bonded to it. Thus, when an oxygen ligand replaces a hydrogen atom (on silicon-B, Ij and Ik), there is a large shift to high field (ca. 30 ppm). This behaviour is very similar to that reported for the methoxy silicon compound [7] (*i.e.* Me₃SiOMe, δ^{29} Si, ca. 17.0 ppm upfield shift). A similar behaviour was observed for the ²⁹Si^B spectrum when the hydrogen ligand was replaced by an acetyl ligand (ca. 37 ppm upfield). It is worth noting that the replacement of the hydrogen ligand by a chlorine ligand on Si-B, (In), leads to a large shift to high field (ca. 42 ppm). This result is similar to that reported for the behaviour of Me₃SiCl [7, 8] in which a high field shift was observed (ca. 29.9 ppm). Interestingly, the deviation of $^{29}Si^{B}$ chemical shifts presented here from that reported for Me₃SiOCH₃ and Me₃SiCl of ca. 12 ppm upfield shift, relfects the influence of the neighbour group β on the silicon-B (PhMe₂Si)₃ groups. The variatons are no doubt influenced by the presence of the bulky (PhMe₃Si)₃ groups, but electronic charge densities are probably also involved.

¹³C Chemical Shifts ($\delta^{13}C$)

Because ¹³C NMR signals are known to be sensitive to both electronic and steric effects, a meaningful interpretation of the data for the disilylated derivatives requires an understanding of the influence of the organosilyl substituent: indeed, it has recently been suggested that a study of trimethylsilyl derivatives may assist in making spectral assignments on the parent compounds [9, 10].

The ¹³C NMR data on the compounds studied are presented in Table I. Chemical shift values obtained from proton decoupled spectra are the means of several observations. For the title compounds the ranges of ¹³C chemical shifts of phenyl ring carbons were: ipso (141.90-139.03 ppm), ortho (136.38-133.33 ppm) and para (129.40-128.40 ppm). For each compound the same order of o, m, and p signals was observed, viz. δ_{ortho} (downfield) $> \delta_{para} > \delta_{meta}$. Moreover, for the entire set, the ranges for these three resonances did not overlap each other (Table I). The results clearly show that the nature of different groups in silicon-B has a significant and predictable effect on the ¹³C chemical shift of the ipso-carbon compared with the effect on the ortho, meta and para carbons. The ipso resonances covered a range of over 3 ppm downfield from the other carbons. It is important to draw attention to the pronounced deshielding at ipso positions, which are directly bonded to the silicon-A, and might be expected to directly reflect the electronic interactions between a benzene ring and silicon substituents. These findings agree well with the established [8, 10, 11] electron-accepting properties of the SiR₃ group and with the charges calculated by Nagy et al. [12, 13].

Another interesting feature for the structure elucidation of disilylated derivatives was observed in the assignments of the ¹³C chemical shifts for α -carbon (C_{α}), Scheme 1. The C_{α} signals exhibited downfield shifts relative to the analogous signal of compound c, and showed the largest influence from the silyl group substituents (on Si^B). When oxygen, acetyl and chlorine ligands replace the hydrogen atom on silicon-B, there is a shift to low field (*ca.* 6 ppm), compared with that observed when hydrogen is replaced by an alkyl group. The deshielding of the α -carbon reflects the influence of the substituents on silicon-B, which led to a change in the charge density on silicon-B, thus changing the electron-accepting properties of the Si^BR₃ group.

²⁹Si-¹H Coupling Constants

The coupling constants given in Table I are also of interest, since the coupling between directlybonded ²⁹Si and ¹H[¹J(²⁹Si-¹H)] in Me₃SiH was found to be 184 Hz [7]. This value is typical for sp³ silicon. The value observed for compound **d**, Table I, fits well with the reported value (I**d**, ¹J, 186 Hz). However, when electronegative substituents are introduced to the silicon-B atom (*i.e.* (PhMe₂Si)₃ CSiMeHCl, ¹J(²⁹Si-¹H) 228 Hz) the coupling increases. Thus, the electronegativity of chlorine induces a large increase as illustrated above. A comparison was made between the carbon-13 coupling

29 _{Si} A	MR	$\delta^{13}C_{\alpha}$	δ ¹³ C ^A NM	R				δ ¹³ C ^B NMR	$^{1}J(^{29}Si-$	¹ H ¹ 2 J(29 Si- ¹ H
	29 Si ^B		ipso	ortho	meta	para	(CH ₃) ₂	CH ₃ Others	(Hz)	(Hz)
Ia (Fnme251)3CH -5.35		0.29	141.90	133.33	127.64	128.40	1.76			
3			(-13.40) ^c	(-4.83)	(0.85)	(0.10)				
Ib (PhMe ₂ Si) ₃ CMe -2.34		2.11	140.73	134.86	127.58	128.75	-0.94	13.97		
			(-12.23)	(-6.36)	(0.92)	(-0.25)				
Ic (PhMe ₂ Si) ₄ C -2.79		1.70	139.20	135.15	127.64	129.40	-1.00			
			(-10.70)	(-6.65)	(0.86)	(-0.90)				
Id (PhMe ₂ Si) ₃ CSiMeEtH -6.39	-7.97	1.42	140.84	136.09	127.28	128.69	4.34	9.98 Ft, 9.69, -0.88	186	6.84
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	(-12.34)	(-7.59)	(1.22)	(-0.19)				
	00'01-	c7.0	140.64	60.0c1	07.171	10101	+C.+	0./0 Du, 20.30, 20.34, 1/./9, 14	cn.	
If (PhMe ₂ Si) ₅ CSiMe(NCS)H = 6.34	-18.69	2.88	139.03	135.91	127.58	129.22	3.64	2.64	224	6.34
			(-10.53)	(-7.41)	(0.92)	(-0.71)				
Ig (PhMe ₂ Si) ₃ CSiMeHCl -6.14	6.49	1.76	139.5	136.15	127.40	129.05	4.29	4.05	228	6.84
•			(-11.0)	(-7.65)	(1.1)	(-0.55)				
Ih $(PhMe_2Si)_3CSiMe(N_3)H -6.44$	-5.28	0.41	139.44	135.97	127.40	129.05	3.76	3.52	218	7.32
			(-10.94)	(-7.47)	(1.1)	(-0.55)				
Ii (PhMe ₂ Si) ₃ CSiMe ₂ H -6.34	-16.63	p	140.73	136.09	127.28	128.69	4.17	2.64	188	6.83
			(-12.23)	(-7.59)	(1.22)	(-0.19)				
Ij (PhMe ₂ Si) ₃ CSiMe ₂ OH -7.18	13.18	5.58	140.79	136.21	127.40	128.81	5.17	7.69		
			(-12.29)	(-7.71)	(1.1)	(-0.31)				
Ik (PhMe ₂ Si) ₃ CSiMe ₂ OCH ₃ -6.93	14.51	6.75	141.26	136.38	127.17	128.52	5.05	3.82 –OCH ₃ , 48.79		
			(-12.76)	(-7.88)	(1.33)	(-0.02)				
II (PhMe ₂ Si) ₃ CSiMe ₂ COCH ₃ -7.03	19.49	6.40	140.37	136.21	127.40	128.81	5.23	5.69 COCH ₃ , 23.78; CO, 170.61		
	12.7	đ	(-11.8/)	(1/./-)	(-0.31)	(1.1)	L0 1	20 2		
	-0.1		(20.70)	17.001	00.171	122.00	4.0/	0.07		
In (PhMe ₂ Si) ₂ CSiMe ₂ Cl –6.76	26.28	q	139.87	136.44	127.46	128.87	5.40	10.45		
			(-11.47)	(-7.94)	(1.04)	(-0.37)				

277

constants ¹J(¹³C-¹H), CH₄ (125 Hz), CH₃Cl (150 Hz), CH₂Cl₂ (178 Hz) and CHCl₃ (209 Hz) and related compounds [14] with the  ${}^{1}J({}^{29}Si-{}^{1}H)$  reported here. The results demonstrate a similar effect for both carbon and silicon compounds. The ratio of difference in J values/J value of the parent compound is equal to 0.2 (*i.e.* 150 - 125/125 = 0.20 (for  $CH_3Cl)$  and 228 - 186/186 = 0.22 (for Ig)). Undoubtedly, the hybridization state of the silicon is not the same, but changes in the effective nuclear charge on silicon or in the average excitation energy are more likely to be the main source of these variations, similar to that reported for  ${}^{1}J({}^{13}C-{}^{1}H)$  coupling constants [15, 16]. On the other hand, this could be due to another effect, possibly including environment and electronegativity.

Interestingly, the magnitude of the coupling constant between Si^B and methyl, the magnitude of the coupling constant between Si^B and methyl protons  $({}^{2}J({}^{29}Si-{}^{1}H))$  remains constant within this entire series,  ${}^{2}J$ , 6.34–7.32 Hz. The same phenomenon is observed in mono-, disilylated bicyclo[n.l.o] alkanes and in disilylated cyclopropyl compounds [6, 17] for which the values found were identical (6.3 Hz).

### Experimental

The organosilicon compounds were prepared by known methods [18-20]*.

Natural abundance ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 25 MHz using a JEOL JNM FX-100 instrument system operating in the Fourier transformer mode with a 10 mm tunable probe. All the spectra were recorded at ambient temperature, 26 °C; the compounds were studied as 0.1 M solutions in CDCl₃. Chemical shift data of the ¹³C NMR spectra were determined relative to  $\delta^{c} = 77.145$  ppm for CDCl₃. The ²⁹Si NMR spectra with proton decoupling

The ²⁹Si NMR spectra with proton decoupling were obtained at 19.73 MHz on a JEOL-FX 100 spectrometer with a spectral width of 2000 Hz, pulse 10  $\mu$ s (45°); pulse delay 10 s; employing 8K data points. The chemical shifts are reported relative to the silicon resonance of  $Me_4Si$ , used as an external reference. The measurements of the ²⁹Si-¹H coupling constant were carried out using IRMOD: NOE.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Research Centre, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The authors are greatful to Messrs. A. Al-Bassier and M. Gopal for technical assistance.

#### References

- 1 H. Marsmann, NMR Basic Princ. Prog., 17, 65 (1981). 2 R. K. Harris and B. E. Mann (eds.), 'NMR and the
- 2 R. K. Harris and B. E. Mann (eds.), 'NMR and the Periodic Table', Academic Press, London/New York/ San Francisco, 1978.
- 3 B. J. Helmer and R. West, Organometallics, 1, 877 (1982), and refs. therein.
- 4 R. Wolf and R. Radeglia, Org. Magn. Reson., 9, 64 (1977).
- 5 C. R. Ernst, L. Spialter, G. R. Buell and D. L. Wilhite, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 5375 (1974).
- 6 M. G. Dubois, M. Laguerre, B. Barbe and M. Petrand, Organometallics, 3, 1060 (1984).
- 7 B. K. Hunter and L. W. Reeves, Can. J. Chem., 46, 1399 (1968).
- 8 J. Schrami, N. Duc-Chuy, V. Chvalovsky, M. Magi and E. Lippmaa, J. Organomet. Chem., 51, C5 (1973).
- 9 H. J. Schneider, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 3636 (1972). 10 P. E. Rakita, L. S. Worsham and J. P. Srebro, Org.
- Magn. Reson., 8, 310 (1976), and refs. therein.
  11 N. C. Cutress, A. R. Katritzky, C. Eaborn, D. R. M. Walton and R. D. Topsom, J. Orgnomet. Chem., 43, 131 (1972).
- 12 J. Reffz, G. Pongor and J. Nagy, Period. Polytech. Chem. Eng., 15, 185 (1971).
- 13 J. Nagy and J. Reffy, J. Organomet. Chem., 23, 71 (1970).
- 14 S. S. Al-Showiman, H. M. Al-Hazimi and I. M. Al-Najjar, J. Chem. Soc. Pak., 3, 69 (1981), and refs. therein.
- 15 D. M. Grant and W. M. Litchman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 3994 (1965).
- 16 N. Cyr and T. J. R. Cyr, J. Chem. Phys., 47, 3082 (1967).
- 17 M. G. Dubois, M. Laguerre, B. Barbe and M. Petrand, Organometallics, 3, 359 (1984).
- 18 C. Eaborn and A. Mansour, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., 2, 729 (1985).
- 19 S. L. Liu and M. M. Ma, J. Organomet. Chem., 24, 89 (1970).
- 20 A. Al-Mansour, unpublished results.

^{*}Ref. 20 compounds d-f and h.